Metal Alkoxides: Models for Metal Oxides. 7.¹ Trinuclear and Tetranuclear Alkylidyne Clusters of Tungsten Supported by Alkoxide Ligands

Malcolm H. Chisholm,* Kirsten Folting, Joseph A. Heppert, David M. Hoffman, and John C. Huffman

Contribution from the Department of Chemistry and Molecular Structure Center, Indiana University, Bloomington, Indiana 47405, Received August 8, 1984

Abstract: The ethylidyne capped triangulo compound $W_3(\mu_3$ -CMe)(μ_2 -O-*i*-Pr)₃(O-*i*-Pr)₆ is formed competitively with W_2 - $(O-i-Pr)_6(\mu-C_4Me_4)(C_2Me_2)$ in the reaction between $W_2(O-i-Pr)_6(py)_2$ and 1 equiv of MeC=CMe and is the major and sole isolable product in the reaction between $W_2(O-i-Pr)_6(py)_2$ and $(t-BuO)_3W \equiv CMe$ carried out in hexane/*i*-PrOH. Similarly, (t-BuO)₃W≡CMe and Mo₂(O-i-Pr)₆ react in hexane/i-PrOH to give Mo₂W(CMe)(O-i-Pr)₉ which is isomorphous with the tritungsten compound. The $W_3(CMe)(O-i-Pr)_9$ molecule has virtual C_{3v} symmetry: each tungsten atom is in a square based pyramidal geometry with the W-C bond in the apical position. Pertinent averaged bond distances (Å) are W-W = 2.74 (1), W-C = 2.06 (1), W-O = 1.91 (1) (terminal OR), and W-O = 2.04 (2) (bridging OR). Variable-temperature ¹H NMR studies on the W₃ and Mo₂W compounds indicate that a similar structure is maintained in solution and that site exchange between the terminal O-i-Pr ligands involves a pseudorotation mechanism. No evidence is found for bridge = terminal exchange on the NMR time scale. The reaction between $(Me_3SiCH_2)_4W_2(\mu$ -CSiMe_3)_2 and excess EtOH in hexane yields W_4 - $(CSiMe_3)_2(OEt)_{14}$ and Me_4Si . In the solid state, there are discrete centrosymmetric $W_4(CSiMe_3)_2(OEt)_{14}$ molecules. The four tungsten atoms form a zigzag chain, W-W-W = 141.64 (2)°, with alternating short, 2.516 (1) Å, and long, 3.513 (1) Å, distances corresponding formally to W-W single and nonbonding distances, respectively. The molecule may be viewed as a dimer $[W_2(\mu-CSiMe_3)(OEt)_7]_2$ with the two halves being joined by a pair of alkoxy bridges. Each tungsten is in a distorted octahedral geometry, and the $W_4C_2O_{14}$ core represents the fusing together of two confacial bioctahedra along a common edge. The μ -CSiMe, ligand occupies one site of the face shared by two tungsten atoms. The W-C distances are notably asymmetric, 1.922 (7) and 2.087 (7) Å, representing formally W-W double and single bond distances. The tetranuclearity is maintained in benzene solution, and bridge ≓ terminal OEt site exchange is rapid on the NMR time scale. These are the first trinuclear and tetranuclear alkylidyne clusters of tungsten supported exclusively by alkoxide ligands. Comparisons with related molecules are made.

In this series of papers we are seeking to explore the structural analogies between metal oxides and metal alkoxides and to develop organometallic chemistry supported by alkoxide ligands.² We describe here our preparation and characterization of trinuclear and tetranuclear alkylidyne complexes of tungsten supported by alkoxide ligands, namely W₃(CMe)(O-i-Pr)₉ and W₄-(CSiMe₃)₂(OEt)₁₄, and the mixed-metal compound Mo₂W- $(CMe)(O-i-Pr)_9$. These are the first trinuclear and tetranuclear alkylidyne clusters supported exclusively by alkoxide ligands.

Syntheses

W₃(CMe)(O-*i*-Pr)₉ was first discovered as a minor product in the reaction between $W_2(O-i-Pr)_6(py)_2$ and MeC=CMe. Since it was established³ that with 3 or more equiv of MeC=CMe the major product was $W_2(O-i-Pr)_6(\mu-C_4Me_4)(C_2Me_2)$, the origin of the trinuclear compound was of considerable interest. A plausible route involved the comproportionation of W(CMe)(O-i-Pr)₃ and $W_2(O-i-Pr)_6$, given that the ethylidyne complex $W(CMe)(O-i-Pr)_3$ was formed⁴ either competitively with an alkyne adduct or by an equilibrium of the type proposed⁵ previously for $W_2(O-t-Bu)_6$ - $(\mu$ -C₂H₂)(py) and (t-BuO)₃W=CH.

Consistent with this line of reasoning, we find that the reaction shown in eq 1 leads to the formation of $W_3(CMe)(O-i-Pr)_9$ in ca. 60% isolated crystalline yield and by ¹H NMR spectroscopy is essentially quantitative.

$$W_{2}(O-i-Pr)_{6}(py)_{2} + (t-BuO)_{3}W \equiv CMe + 3i-PrOH \xrightarrow{hexane} W_{3}(CMe)(O-i-Pr)_{9} + 3t-BuOH + 2py (1)$$

The reaction shown in eq 1 represents the combined reactions of alcoholysis and comproportionation. The detailed order of these is not known. The comproportionation of the W=CMe and W=W moieties has a direct parallel with the formation of oxocapped alkoxides: $MO(O-i-Pr)_4 + (i-PrO)_3M \equiv M(O-i-Pr)_3 \rightarrow$ $M_3O(O-i-Pr)_{10}$, where M = Mo and W.⁶ An extension of reaction 1 to include other alkyidyne and alkoxide ligands seems likely and is being investigated. An indication of the generality of the comproportionation is seen in the successful synthesis of the mixed-metal alkylidyne-capped trinuclear complex by the reaction shown in eq 2.

$$Mo_{2}(O-i-Pr)_{6} + (t-BuO)_{3}W \equiv CMe + 3i-PrOH \xrightarrow{\text{nexalle}} Mo_{2}W(CMe)(O-i-Pr)_{9} + 3t-BuOH (2)$$

 $W_4(CSiMe_3)_2(OEt)_{14}$ is formed in the reaction between $[(Me_3SiCH_2)_2W(\mu$ -CSiMe_3)]_2 and an excess of ethanol according to eq 3.

$$(Me_{3}SiCH_{2})_{4}W_{2}(\mu-CSiMe_{3})_{2} + 7EtOH \rightarrow \frac{1}{2}[W_{4}(CSiMe_{3})_{2}(OEt)_{14}] + 5Me_{4}Si (3)$$

The generality of eq 3 is limited by the nature of the alcohol. Bulky and less acidic alcohols such as t-BuOH and i-PrOH give $(RO)_4W_2(\mu$ -CSiMe₃)₂ compounds by alcoholysis of only the Me₃SiCH₂ ligands.⁷ In view of the recent synthesis of (*t*-BuO)₄W₂(μ -CPh)₂,⁸ an extension of the alcoholysis reaction, eq 3, can be envisaged to include the μ -CPh ligand.

Physicochemical Properties. W₃(CMe)(O-i-Pr)₉ is a dark green-brown crystalline compound while $W_4(CSiMe_3)_2(OEt)_{14}$ is red. Both compounds are air-sensitive and must be handled in dry and oxygen-free atmospheres and solvents. The compounds are soluble in hydrocarbon solvents. A cryoscopic molecular

⁽¹⁾ Part 6: Chisholm, M. H.; Hoffman, D. M.; Huffman, J. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1984, 106, 6815. (2) Chisholm, M. H. ACS Symp. Ser. 1983, 211, 243.

⁽³⁾ Chisholm, M. H.; Hoffman, D. M.; Huffman, J. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1984, 106, 6806.

⁽⁴⁾ W₂(O-*i*-Bu)₆ has been shown to react with MeC≡CMe to give (*i*-BuO)₃W≡CMe: Schrock, R. R.; Listemann, M. L.; Sturgeoff, L. G. J. Am. *Chem. Soc.* **1982**, *104*, 4291. (5) Chisholm, M. H.; Hoffman, D. M.; Huffman, J. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc.

^{1984, 106, 6794.}

^{(6) (}a) Chisholm, M. H.; Folting, K.; Huffman, J. C. Inorg. Chem. 1984, 23, 1021. (b) Chisholm, M. H.; Huffman, J. C.; Kober, E. M. Inorg. Chem., in press.

Chisholm, M. H.; Heppert, J. A., results to be published.
 Cotton, F. A.; Schwotzer, W.; Shamshoun, E. S. Organometallics 1983, 2. 1167.



Figure 1. An ORTEP view of the $W_3(\mu_3$ -CMe)(μ_2 -O-*i*-Pr)₃(O-*i*-Pr)₆ molecule showing the atom numbering scheme used in the tables.

Table I. Fractional Coordinates and Isotropic Thermal Parameters for the W₃(CMe)(O-*i*-Pr)₉ Molecule

atom	$10^{4}x$	10 ⁴ y	10 ⁴ z	10 B _{iso}
W(1)	6821.2 (3)	2418.2 (5)	6633.5 (4)	10
W(2)	7685.5 (3)	347.9 (5)	3994.6 (4)	10
W(3)	7398.8 (3)	-251.9 (5)	6162.3 (4)	9
C(4)	8014 (7)	889 (12)	5612 (11)	14
C(5)	8829 (7)	934 (12)	5612 (12)	15
O(6)	6874 (5)	3965 (9)	6337 (8)	21
C(7)	6821 (8)	5283 (13)	7139 (13)	19
C(8)	7615 (9)	5440 (14	6473 (15)	28
C(9)	6052 (9)	6336 (14)	7311 (15)	27
O(10)	6776 (5)	3336 (8)	8410 (8)	15
C(11)	7244 (7)	3210 (13)	9193 (12)	18
C(12)	7701 (9)	4140 (15)	9249 (15)	29
C(13)	6630 (9)	3541 (16)	10616 (13)	31
O(14)	6523 (5)	1892 (8)	4995 (7)	12
C(15)	6054 (7)	2738 (12)	4575 (12)	15
C(16)	5154 (8)	3535 (14)	5701 (13)	23
C(17)	6096 (8)	1859 (15)	3203 (13)	24
O(18)	8117 (5)	1268 (8)	3036 (7)	15
C(19)	8491 (7)	2218 (1.3)	3120 (12)	17
C(20)	8083 (9)	3221 (15)	2703 (15)	27
C(21)	9424 (8)	1495 (15)	2237 (14)	26
O(22)	8586 (5)	-1377 (9)	2569 (8)	19
C(23)	9246 (8)	-1844 (14)	1251 (13)	22
C(24)	9161 (11)	-2963 (16)	205 (14)	39
C(25)	10089 (9)	-2352 (17)	1249 (16)	38
O(26)	7110 (5)	-834 (8)	4552 (7)	11
C(27)	7112 (8)	-2108 (12)	3674 (12)	17
C(28)	6720 (9)	-2667 (14)	4581 (14)	25
C(29)	6645 (10)	-1834 (15)	2873 (15)	29
O(30)	7318 (5)	12 (8)	7951 (8)	16
C(31)	7693 (7)	-786 (13)	8495 (13)	18
C(32)	8178 (8)	-112 (14)	9189 (13)	21
C(33)	6997 (9)	-921 (15)	9516 (13)	26
O(34)	8291 (5)	-2002 (8)	5337 (8)	15
C(35)	9172 (7)	-2695 (12)	4494 (12)	15
C(36)	9349 (8)	-4137 (14)	3471 (14)	26
C(37)	9654 (8)	-2744 (15)	5358 (14)	28
O(38)	6224 (5)	1322 (8)	7241 (7)	13
C(39)	5522 (7)	1682 (13)	8495 (12)	17
C(40)	4860 (8)	3029 (14)	8926 (12)	23
C(41)	5236 (8)	534 (16)	8253 (15)	31

weight determination in benzene confirmed that $W_4(CSiMe_3)_2$ -(OEt)₁₄, which as shown later may be viewed as the dimer $[W_2(CSiMe_3)(OEt)_7]_2$, remains associated in solution.

Solid-State Molecular Structures

 $W_3(CMe)(O-i-Pr)_9$. An ORTEP view of the molecule is shown in Figure 1. Atomic positional parameters are given in Table I, and listings of selected bond distances and angles are given in Tables II and III, respectively.

The local coordination geometry about each tungsten atom corresponds to that of a square-based pyramid in which the

Table II. Bond Distances (Å) for the W₃(CMe)(O-i-Pr)₉ Molecule

able II. Bolid Distances		(Chic)(O-1-11)9 Molecule
Α	В	distance
W(1)	W(2)	2.732 (2)
W(1)	W(3)	2.742 (1)
W(1)	O(6)	1.929 (9)
W(1)	O(10)	1.905 (8)
W(1)	O(14)	2.048 (8)
W(1)	O(38)	2.023 (7)
W(1)	C(4)	2.059 (11)
W(2)	W(3)	2.748 (1)
W(2)	O(14)	2.031 (8)
W(2)	O(18)	1.910 (8)
W(2)	O(22)	1.929 (8)
W(2)	O(26)	2.063 (7)
W(2)	C(4)	2.062 (12)
W(3)	O(26)	2.012 (8)
W(3)	O(30)	1.925 (7)
W(3)	O(34)	1.900 (8)
W(3)	O(38)	2.056 (8)
W(3)	C(4)	2.062 (11)
O(6)	C(7)	1.420 (15)
O(10)	C(11)	1.432 (14)
O(14)	C(15)	1.431 (14)
O(18)	C(19)	1.412 (14)
O(22)	C(23)	1.430 (15)
O(26)	C(27)	1.447 (14)
O(30)	C(31)	1.423 (15)
O(34)	C(35)	1.424 (14)
O(38)	C(39)	1.448 (14)
C–C (<i>i</i> -Pr)		1.51 (1) (av)



Figure 2. An ORTEP view of the centrosymmetric $W_4(\mu-CSiMe_3)_2(OEt)_{14}$ molecule giving the atom numbering scheme used in the tables.

 μ_3 -CMe ligand occupies the apical position. The presence of three μ_2 -OR groups constitute for each tungsten a cis-pair of basal sites with two terminal OR groups completing the square. The molecule has virtual C_{3v} symmetry.

The W-O distances associated with the terminal alkoxide ligands fall in the range 1.90 to 1.93 Å, typical of distances wherein the alkoxide ligand is acting as a σ and π donor to tungsten.⁹ The W-O distances of the μ_2 -OR ligands, 2.02 to 2.06 Å, are typical of W-O distances for μ_2 -OR ligands and are essentially what is expected for σ bonds.⁹ The three W-C distances to the capping alkylidine carbon atom are equivalent at 2.06 (1) Å, comparable to the Mo-C distances seen in ethylidyne-capped Mo₃ clusters such as Mo₃(CMe)₂(OAc)₆(H₂Q)₃²⁺ salts.^{10,11}

The W-W distances, 2.74 (1) Å (averaged), are notably longer than those in the oxo-capped compound $W_3O(O-i-Pr)_{10}^6$ and in compounds containing a central $Mo_3(\mu_3-O)(\mu_2-O)_3^{4+}$ core such as $Zn_2Mo_3O_8$ and the Mo(4+) aquo ion, which have M-M dis-

⁽⁹⁾ Chisholm, M. H. Polyhedron 1983, 2, 681.

⁽¹⁰⁾ Bino, A.; Cotton, F. A.; Dori, Z. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1981, 103, 243.
(11) Bino, A.; Cotton, F. A.; Dori, Z.; Kolthammer, B. W. S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1981, 103, 5779.

Table III. Selected Bond Angles (deg) for the $W_3(CMe)(O\text{-}i\text{-}Pr)_9$ Molecule

A	B B		angle
	D	C	angle
W(2)	W(1)	W(3)	60.28 (3)
W(2)	W(I)	0(6)	100.60 (25)
W(2)	W(1)	O(10)	140 37 (23)
W(2)	$\mathbf{W}(1)$	O(10)	47.00(21)
W(2)	W(1)	0(14)	47.69 (21)
W(2)	W(1)	O(38)	91.77 (22)
W(2)	W(1)	C(4)	48.5 (3)
W(3)	$\mathbf{W}(1)$	O(6)	155.88 (26)
W(3)	W(1)	$\hat{\mathbf{O}}(10)$	100.91 (25)
$\mathbf{W}(3)$	$\mathbf{W}(1)$	O(10)	01.01 (23)
W(3)	W(1)	0(14)	91.01 (22)
W(3)	W(1)	O(38)	48.27 (22)
W(3)	W(1)	C(4)	48.3 (3)
O(6)	W(1)	O(10)	89.1 (4)
O(6)	wiii	O(14)	847(3)
	$\mathbf{W}(1)$	O(14)	154 4 (2)
0(0)	W(1)	0(30)	134.4 (3)
O(6)	W(1)	C(4)	108.5 (4)
O(10)	W(1)	O(14)	162.9 (3)
O(10)	W(1)	O(38)	91.5 (3)
OÙÓ	wùń	C(4)	100.8 (4)
0(14)	W(1)	O(19)	874(2)
0(14)	w(1)	0(38)	87.4 (3)
O(14)	W(1)	C(4)	96.2 (4)
O(38)	W(1)	C(4)	96.6 (4)
O(14)	W(2)	O(18)	91.2 (3)
0(14)	W(2)	$\vec{O}(22)$	157 1 (3)
0(14)	W(2)	O(25)	86 8 (2)
0(14)	W(2)	0(20)	80.8 (3)
O(14)	Wn2)	C(4)	96.6 (4)
O(18)	W(2)	O(22)	90.0 (3)
O(18)	W(2)	O(26)	162.5 (3)
0(18)	wizi	C(4)	102 5 (4)
0(10)	W(2)		85 2 (2)
O(22)	W(2)	O(20)	63.2 (3)
O(22)	W(2)	C(4)	105.5 (4)
O(26)	W(2)	C(4)	95.0 (4)
O(26)	W(3)	O(30)	155.2 (3)
0(26)	Wai	O(34)	90.8 (3)
0(26)	$\mathbf{W}(2)$	0(29)	97.1 (2)
0(20)	W(3)	0(38)	87.1 (3)
O(26)	W(3)	C(4)	96.5 (4)
O(30)	W(3)	O(34)	90.1 (3)
O(30)	W(3)	O(38)	84.6 (3)
ဝပ်ဒတ်	wài	C(4)	107 5 (4)
O(34)	$\mathbf{W}(2)$	0(19)	167.2 (2)
O(34)	$\mathbf{W}(\mathbf{S})$	0(38)	102.3 (3)
O(34)	W(3)	C(4)	102.3 (4)
O(38)	W(3)	C(4)	95.4 (4)
W(1)	O(6)	C(7)	135.8 (7)
W(1)	O(10)	C(11)	141.2(7)
W(1)	O(14)	$\mathbf{W}(2)$	841(3)
$\mathbf{W}(1)$	O(14)	C(15)	120.2 (7)
W(1)	0(14)	C(15)	130.2 (7)
W(2)	O(14)	C(15)	132.6 (6)
W(2)	O(18)	C(19)	142.9 (7)
W(2)	O(22)	C(23)	136.2 (8)
win	ဝင်က်	W(3)	848 (3)
W(2)	O(26)	C(27)	$129 \leq (7)$
W(2)	O(20)	C(27)	120.0 (7)
W(3)	U(26)	C(27)	133.6 (7)
W(3)	O(30)	C(31)	136.4 (7)
W(3)	O(34)	C(35)	140.6 (7)
W(1)	O(38)	W(3)	84.5 (3)
wiii	0(38)	C(39)	132 5 (7)
$\mathbf{W}(2)$	0(28)	C(20)	131.4(7)
W (3)			
w(1)	C(4)	w(2)	83.0 (4)
W(1)	C(4)	W(3)	83.4 (4)
W(1)	C(4)	C(5)	130.6 (8)
wizi	C(4)	$\mathbf{W}(3)$	83.6 (4)
win	CIA	C	129 3 (8)
W(2)	C(4)	C(S)	129.3 (8)
vv (3)	U(4)	(0)	147.1 (0)

tances of 2.51–2.54 Å.¹² However, a number of other triangulo-capped compounds of Mo(IV) and W(IV) have M–M distances in the range 2.73 to 2.76 Å.¹² and based on distance, electron counting, and symmetry we formulate the existence of

3 W-W bonds in the new compound. $W_4(CSiMe_3)_2(OEt)_{14}$. An ORTEP view of the centrosymmetric molecule is shown in Figure 2. Atomic positional parameters are given in Table IV and selected bond distances and angles are

Table IV. Fractional Coordinates and Isotropic Thermal Parameters for the $W_4(CSiMe_3)_2(OEt)_{14}$ Molecule

101 110 114(1	0011103/2(021/]	4 1.101000010		
atom	10 ⁴ x	10 ⁴ y	10 ⁴ z	10 B _{iso}
W(1)	351.2 (2)	4497.3 (1)	6111.5 (2)	9
W(2)	-344.1 (3)	4375.1 (2)	7649.6 (2)	10
C(3)	1397 (7)	4715 (4)	7419 (5)	14
Si(4)	2955 (2)	5051 (1)	8240 (1)	14
C(5)	3506 (9)	4357 (5)	9263 (6)	27
C(6)	4276 (7)	5142 (5)	7622 (7)	23
C(7)	2705 (7)	5988 (5)	8773 (6)	18
O(8)	-1332 (4)	4898 (3)	6340 (3)	14
C(9)	-2654 (7)	4910 (4)	5799 (6)	18
C(10)	-3373 (8)	5511 (5)	6181 (6)	27
O(11)	-491 (5)	3561 (3)	6566 (4)	14
C(12)	-1372 (7)	2935 (4)	6212 (6)	17
C(13)	-650 (8)	2270 (5)	5970 (6)	22
O(14)	653 (5)	5486 (3)	5533 (3)	12
C(15)	1152 (7)	6185 (4)	6046 (6)	17
C(16)	225 (8)	6551 (5)	6530 (6)	19
O(17)	1546 (5)	3848 (3)	5753 (4)	15
C(18)	2468 (7)	3323 (4)	6343 (6)	17
C(19)	3524 (8)	3155 (5)	5866 (6)	27
O(20)	305 (5)	3595 (3)	8576 (4)	15
C(21)	1089 (7)	2942 (4)	8592 (5)	16
C(22)	405 (8)	2241 (5)	8799 (6)	23
O(23)	-293 (4)	5275 (3)	8410 (4)	14
C(24)	-1157 (8)	5602 (4)	8893 (6)	19
C(25)	-1009 (8)	6454 (5)	8882 (7)	25
O(26)	-2091 (5)	4137 (3)	7790 (4)	17
C(27)	-2424 (8)	3905 (5)	8632 (6)	24
C(28)	-3313 (11)	3230 (6)	8408 (8)	38

Table V. Selected Bond Distances (Å) for the $W_4(CSiMe_3)_2(OEt)_{14}$ Molecule

Α	В	distance	
W(1)	W(1)'	3.513 (1)	
W(1)	W(2)	2.516 (1)	
W(1)	O(8)	2.061 (5)	
W(1)	O(11)	2.070 (5)	
W(1)	O(14)	1.988 (5)	
W(1)	O(14)'	2.283 (5)	
W(1)	O(17)	1.899 (5)	
W(1)	C(3)	1.922 (7)	
W(2)	O(8)	2.081 (5)	
W(2)	O(11)	2.076 (5)	
W(2)	O(20)	1.893 (5)	
W(2)	O(23)	1.908 (5)	
W(2)	O(26)	1.999 (5)	
W(2)	C(3)	2.087 (7)	
Si(4)	C(3)	1.854 (8)	
Si(4)	C(5)	1.863 (9)	
Si(4)	C(6)	1.884 (8)	
Si(4)	C(7)	1.864 (8)	
O(8)	C(9)	1.420 (8)	
O(11)	C(12)	1.450 (8)	
O(14)	C(15)	1.453 (8)	
O(17)	C(18)	1.440 (8)	
O(20)	C(21)	1.423 (9)	
O(23)	C(24)	1.429 (8)	
O(26)	C(27)	1.405 (9)	
C-C (OEt)		1.51 (1) (av)	

given in Tables V and VI, respectively.

There is a chain of four tungsten atoms with alternating short, 2.51 (1) Å, and long, 3.51 (1) Å, W-to-W distances. The W-W-W angle in the W₄ chain is 141°. Each tungsten atom is in a distorted octahedral environment being coordinated to five oxygen atoms and the carbon atom of the bridging Me₃SiC ligand. The internal tungsten atoms W(1) and W(1)' are coordinated to only one terminal alkoxide ligand. These are connected to the external tungsten atoms through the agency of a pair of μ_2 -OR ligands and the bridging Me₃SiC ligand and to each other by a pair of μ -OR ligands. The molecule may be viewed as a dimer [W₂(CSiMe₃)(OEt)₇)]₂: two confacial bioctahedra are fused along a common edge.

⁽¹²⁾ Muller, A.; Jostes, R.; Cotton, F. A. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1980, 19, 875.

Table VI. Selected Bond Angles (deg) for the W₄(CSiMe₃)₂(OEt)₁₄ Molecule

A	В	С	angle
W(1)/	W/(1)	W(2)	141.62 (2)
W(1)	W(1)	$\mathcal{O}(2)$	52.07(12)
W(2)	$\mathbf{W}(1)$		52.97(13)
W(2)	W(1)	0(11)	52.76 (13)
W(2)	W(1)	O(14)	136.02 (12)
W(2)	W(1)	O(17)	125.15 (15)
W(2)	W(1)	C(3)	54.13 (21)
O(8)	W(1)	O(11)	74.72 (19)
O(8)	W(1)	O(14)	91.27 (19)
O (8)	WÙÚ	O(17)	161.09 (20)
O(8)	WÜ	$\vec{C}(3)$	94.78 (25)
$\mathbf{O}(11)$	with	O(14)	164.06 (19)
0(11)	$\mathbf{W}(1)$	O(17)	80.03 (20)
O(11)	$\mathbf{W}(1)$	C(3)	02.67 (25)
O(11)	$\mathbf{W}(1)$	C(3)	92.07(23)
0(14)	W(1)	0(14)	69.34 (21)
O(14)	W(1)	O(17)	102.14 (20)
O(14)	W(1)	C(3)	96.10 (24)
O(17)	W(1)	C(3)	96.96 (26)
W (1)	W(2)	O(8)	52.23 (13)
W(1)	W(2)	O(11)	52.54 (13)
W(1)	W(2)	O(20)	121.60 (15)
W(1)	W(2)	O(23)	117.00 (15)
W(I)	W(2)	O(26)	128.90 (15)
WÌÌ	$\mathbf{W}(\mathbf{\hat{2}})$	C(3)	48 28 (19)
O(8)	W(2)	O(11)	74 17 (19)
	W(2)	0(11)	150 72 (21)
	W(2)	0(20)	03.81 (20)
	W(2)	O(23)	93.81 (20)
U(8)	W(2)	O(26)	85.52 (19)
O(8)	W(2)	C(3)	89.44 (22)
O(11)	W(2)	O(20)	87.33 (21)
O(11)	W(2)	O(23)	167.40 (20)
O(11)	W(2)	O(26)	93.09 (20)
O(11)	W(2)	C(3)	87.92 (23)
O(20)	W(2)	O(23)	105.10 (22)
O(20)	W(2)	0(26)	87.33 (21)
O(20)	$\mathbf{W}(2)$	C(3)	98.25 (24)
O(23)	W(2)	O(26)	89.65 (20)
O(23)	W(2)	C(20)	89.00 (24)
O(25)	W(2)	C(3)	17427(22)
O(20)	W(2)	C(3)	1/4.37(23)
C(3)	51(4)	C(5)	108.5 (4)
C(3)	S1(4)	C(6)	114.0 (4)
C(3)	S1(4)	C(7)	109.0 (4)
C(5)	Si(4)	C(6)	107.6 (5)
C(5)	Si(4)	C(7)	108.2 (4)
C(6)	Si(4)	C(7)	109.3 (4)
W(1)	O(8)	W(2)	74.80 (16)
W(1)	O(8)	C(9)	136.0 (4)
W(2)	O(8)	C(9)	132.5 (4)
Wítí	OÌÚ	$\hat{\mathbf{W}(2)}$	74.71 (16)
W(1)	$\mathbf{O}(11)$	C(12)	143.0 (5)
W(2)	0(11)	C(12)	133 3 (4)
$\mathbf{W}(1)$	0(14)	$\mathbf{W}(1)$	110 46 (21)
W (1)	O(14)	C(15)	110.70(21)
W(1)	O(14)		127.7 (4)
W(1)	O(17)	C(18)	129.8 (4)
W(2)	0(20)	C(21)	134.2 (4)
W(2)	O(23)	C(24)	133.5 (4)
W(2)	O(26)	C(27)	128.5 (5)
W(1)	C(3)	W(2)	77.6 (3)
W(1)	C(3)	Si(4)	148.6 (4)
W(2)	C(3)	Si(4)	133.7 (4)

If a terminal RO ligand is counted as -1, a bridging OR ligand as $-1/_2$, and the bridging Me₃SiC ligand as $-11/_2$ per metal, then the formal oxidation state of the outer tungsten atoms, W(2) and W(2)', is $+5^{1}/_{2}$ while that of the inner tungsten atoms, W(1) and W(1)', is $+4^{1}/_{2}$. This difference is internally compensated by the bridging trimethylsilylidyne forming shorter bonds to W(1) than to W(2). Indeed, the W(1)-C(3) and W(2)-C(3) distances of 1.988 (5) and 2.087 (7) Å may formally be considered as W-C double and single bonds, respectively.

The W₄ unit has four electrons available for M-M bonding and these are used to form two single bonds between W(1) and W(2)and W(1)' and W(2)'. The W(1)-to-W(1)' distance of 3.51 (1) Å is clearly a nonbonding distance. The W(1)-W(2) distance of 2.51 (1) Å is short for a W-W single-bond distance; indeed,



H6W2(P-H)(P-X)2

Figure 3. Qualitative molecular orbital diagram outlining the orbital correspondences between $H_6W_2(\mu-H)(\mu-X)_2^-$ and $H_6W_2(\mu-CH)(\mu-X)_2^-$ (X = OH). The $H_6W_2(\mu-H)(\mu-X)_2^-$ and $H_6W_2(\mu-CH)(\mu-X)_2^-$ species were chosen as simple models for one of the dinuclear confacial bioctahedral units in $W_4(\mu-H)_2(O-i-Pr)_{14}$ and $W_4(\mu-CSiMe_3)_2(OEt)_{14}$, respectively. A qualitative relationship between formal metal-metal triple bonds of configuration $\sigma^2 \pi^4$ and bonding in the H₆W₂(μ -H)(μ -X)₂⁻ moiety can be drawn by considering the metal-metal bonding a1 and b1 orbitals to contribute a $\sigma^2 \pi^2$ component to W-W bonding, while the metal-hydrogen bonding a1 orbital which is primarily hydrogen in character contributes a π^2 component to metal-metal bonding. Correspondences between these orbitals and orbitals of similar appearance in the $H_6W_2(\mu$ -CH)(μ -X)₂⁻ molecule are shown by solid lines. A new b₂ orbital which is primarily carbon in character and is metal-carbon bonding also appears in the bonding set. This orbital is antibonding with respect to its metal-metal interction and, consequently, contributes a π^{*2} component to W-W bonding. The net metal-metal bonding in H₆W₂- $(\mu$ -CH) $(\mu$ -X)₂⁻ can therefore be modeled by a " $\sigma^2 \pi^2$ " configuration. (The general MO diagram for dinuclear systems in a confacial bioctahedral coordination environment and the effects of π -donor ligands on these systems were obtained from R. H. Summerville and R. Hoffmann (J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1979, 101, 3821-3831). An extended Hückel calculation using weighted Hij's (see: Hoffmann, R. J. Chem. Phys. 1963, 39, 1397-1412 and references therein) was used to confirm the proposed ordering and character of MO's for the $H_6W_2(\mu$ -CH)(μ -X)₂ moiety. An idealized octahedral coordination geometry was assumed for the WH3 fragments while bridging groups were placed equidistant from each W center with average bond angles and distances obtained from the X-ray structure. Bond distances and angles include W-H = 1.75 Å, W-C = 2.00 Å, W-O = 2.09 Å, C-H = 1.09 Å, O-H = 0.96 Å, $W-C-W = 78^\circ$, W-O-W = 74°, W-C-H = 141°, and W-O-H = 143°. Parameters for H, O, and C used in the calculations are standard for extended Hückel, while W parameters were obtained from the work of Summerville and Hoffmann.)

it is close to a typical W=W bond distance.¹³ In this regard it should be noted that W-to-C bonding will be mixed with M-M bonding. In an extreme view one may consider the confacial bioctahedron as a d^3-d^3 ditungsten unit with a CR⁺ unit occupying one of the bridging positions. This emphasizes the interactions

⁽¹³⁾ For a comparison of W-W distances in dinuclear alkoxides and assignments of M-M bond order see ref 9.



Figure 4. Prototypal representations of the bicapped and hemicapped triangulo structures now known for molybdenum and/or tungsten. (a) The M_3X_{11} unit found in $M_3(\mu_3-O)(\mu_3-OR)(\mu_2-OR)_3(OR)_6$ compounds. (b) The M_3X_{11} unit in $M_3(\mu_3-O)_2(O_2CR)_6(H_2O)_3^{2+}$ ions. (c) The M_3X_{16} unit in the $W_3(\mu_3-O)(O_2CR)_6(H_2O)_3^{2+}$ ion. (d) The M_3X_{13} unit found in the aquated $Mo_3(\mu_3-O)(\mu_2-O)_3^{4+}$ containing ion and (e) the M_3X_{10} unit seen in $W_3(\mu_3-CMe)(\mu_2-O)_{-1}^{-1}(O_{-1}\cdot Pr)_6$. These representations show how the metal atoms are bonded to either 7 (b), 6 (a, c and d) or 5 (e) ligand atoms. The present structure (e) is seen to be related to (d) by the removal of the ligands trans to the capping μ_3 -X group.

between M-M σ , π , and δ bonding and M-C σ and π bonding and is shown schematically in Figure 3. This is the first time that an alkyidyne ligand has been found to occupy a bridging site in a confacial bioctahedron.

The W-O distances associated with the terminal OR ligands are shorter by >0.1 Å than those of the μ_2 -OR ligands consistent with $\sigma + \pi$ character of the former. Most notable, however, are the relatively long distances of W-O bonds that are trans to the W-C bonds. This leads to a very marked asymmetry in W-O distances of the central bridge: W(1)-O(14) = 1.988 (5) Å vs. W(1)-O(14)' = 2.283 (5) Å.

Comparisons with Related Structures. There is now a large group of triangulo complexes of Mo and W having either one or two capping groups with 6 electrons available for M-M bonding.^{11,13} These contain metal atoms that are either 6 or 7 coordinate with respect to ligand-to-metal bonding and have M_3X_{17} , M_3X_{16} , M_3X_{13} , or M_3X_{11} central skeletons, where X = a ligand atom directly bonded to M. The compound $W_3(CMe)(O-i-Pr)_9$ provides a new structural type being the first number of an M_3X_{10} group. These structural types are shown in Figure 4. The M_3X_{10} structure is closely related to the M_3X_{13} unit with each metal atom in the former being five coordinate rather than six coordinate and lacking a ligand trans to the μ_3 -X position.

The W₄(CSiMe₃)₂(OEt)₁₄ structure is directly analogous to that found for W₄(H)₂(O-*i*-Pr)₁₄¹⁵ with the μ -CSiMe₃ ligand in the



Figure 5. ¹H NMR spectrum of W₃(CMe)(O-*i*-Pr)₉ dissolved in benzene- d_6 recorded at 21 °C, 360 MHz. The ethylidyne proton resonance is shown in the inset at scale expansion. Coupling to ¹⁸³W, I = 1/2, 14.5% natural abundance, produces an apparent 1:4:1 triplet, $J_{183W-H} = 7.1$ Hz.

former occupying the site of μ -H in the latter molecule. Both molecules may be viewed as dimers, $[W_2(\mu-X)(OR)_7]_2$, but for X = H there is formally a W-W double bond since the average oxidation state of tungsten is +4 whereas for X = CSiMe₃ there is formally a W-W single bond since the average oxidation state of tungsten is +5. The short W-W distances differ little: 2.45 Å (X = H) and 2.51 Å (X = CSiMe₃). In both cases there is a mixing of M- μ -X bonding and M-M bonding. This is well documented in metal hydride chemistry where an M-H-M unit is often portrayed as a protonated metal-metal bond. Both molecules can be viewed as derivatives of d³-d³ confacial bioctahedra in which either H⁺ or RC⁺ occupies one of the bridging positions resulting in formally M-M double and single bonds when the bridging ligands are reduced to H⁻ and RC³⁻, respectively.

¹H NMR Studies

 $W_3(CMe)(O-i-Pr)_9$. The ¹H NMR spectrum of $W_3(CMe)$ -(O-*i*-Pr)₉ recorded in benzene- d_6 at 21 °C and 360 MHz is shown in Figure 5. There are two septets in the integral ratio 2:1 assignable to terminal and bridging OCHMe₂ protons, respectively. In the methyl region of the spectrum there is a sharp doublet flanked by two doublets which are broad. Upon lowering the temperature the broadened doublets sharpen to give at -10 °C three sharp doublets of equal intensity. Upon raising the temperature the two doublets which appear broad at 21 °C broaden further, collapse into the base line, and then at +60 °C start to coalesce. Decomposition becomes rapid above this temperature. These are the only changes observed.

These observations lead us to the following conclusions.

(1) The low-temperature-limiting spectrum is entirely consistent with that anticipated from the molecular structure found in the solid state which has six chemically equivalent terminal O-*i*-Pr ligands and three equivalent bridging ones. The methyl groups of the terminal ligands are diastereotopic.

(2) Upon raising the temperature site exchange between the bridging and terminal ligands does not occur but some dynamic process leads to the loss of the diastereotopic character of the methyl groups of the terminal O-*i*-Pr ligands.

Assuming that this selective exchange process is intramolecular (all evidence is consistent with this assumption) two processes could be envisaged to explain the observed spectra. A pseudorotation about each tungsten atom could exchange the terminal O-*i*-Pr ligand positions by way of a square-based pyramid \rightleftharpoons trigonal bipyramid \rightleftharpoons square-based pyramid interconversion. In this way each terminal O-*i*-Pr ligand would pass through a molecular plane of symmetry. Alternatively, the six terminal O-*i*-Pr ligands could exchange sites by forming and opening bridges in a concerted manner. This could be viewed as a "merry-go-round" akin to that

⁽¹⁴⁾ Ardon, M.; Cotton, F. A.; Dori, Z.; Fang, A.; Kapon, M.; Reisner, G. M.; Shaia, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1983, 104, 5394 and ref 1-10 cited therein.

⁽¹⁵⁾ Akiyama, M.; Chisholm, M. H.; Cotton, F. A.; Extine, M. W.; Haitko, D. A.; Leonelli, J.; Little, D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1981, 103, 779.

Scheme I. Two Plausible Dynamic Processes Leading to the Loss of the Diastereotopic Nature of the Methyl Groups of the Terminal O-*i*-Pr Ligands in the W_3 (CMe)(O-*i*-Pr)₉ Molecule^a



^a In A, shown at the top, the two terminal O-*i*-Pr ligands at any one tungsten center pass through a molecular plane of symmetry by a twisting motion. In B, bridges open and close as in a merrygo-round or carrousel-like manner. The O-*i*-Pr ligands denoted by a and b are not exchanged because b remain distal to the capping X ligand. The latter mechanism can be ruled out for the mixed metal compound $Mo_2W(CMe)(O-$ *i* $-Pr)_9$ on the basis of the VT ¹H NMR spectra (see text).

observed in some CO ligand site exchange processes in transition-metal carbonyl chemistry.¹⁶ These processes are represented diagramatically in Scheme 1. The essential feature in the latter process is that alkoxy ligands which are proximal with respect to the capping ethylidyne ligand remain proximal and those that are distal remain distal even though bridges open and close.

With regard to the site-exchange mechanism, the ¹H NMR spectra of the $Mo_2W(CMe)(O-i-Pr)_9$ molecule are informative since it may be viewed as an isotopomer of the tritungsten compound.

Mo₂W(CMe)(O-i-Pr)₉. The low-temperature-limiting spectrum recorded at -45 °C at 360 MHz in toluene- d_8 is in agreement with expectations based upon substituting two tungsten atoms by molybdenum atoms in the $W_3(CMe)(O-i-Pr)_9$ structure. The molecule has only one mirror plane of symmetry, resulting in two types of bridging O-i-Pr ligands, 2MoW(µ-O-i-Pr):1Mo₂(µ-O*i*-Pr), and three types of terminal O-*i*-Pr ligands in the ratio 2:2:2. With the exception of the unique bridging O-i-Pr ligand which bridges the two molybdenum atoms, the methyl groups of the isopropoxide ligands are diastereotopic. Five septets are observed in the methyne region of the spectrum in the integral ratio 2:2:2:2:1 and nine doublets of equal intensity are seen in the methyl region. An absolute assignment of the observed spectrum is not possible, but the signal due to the methyne proton of the $Mo_2(\mu$ -O-*i*-Pr) moiety is easily identified, being of relative intensity one, and its neighboring septet of intensity two, which is well upfield of all the other septets, can reliably be assigned to the methyne protons of the two O-i-Pr ligands spanning the Mo-W bonds. Upon raising the temperature these signals are invariant, indicating that the bridging groups remain bridging and do not open and close on the NMR time scale. The three methyne septets at lower field, which are assignable to terminal O-i-Pr ligands, collapse to two septets of relative intensity 4:2 from which we conclude that O-i-Pr ligands bound to molybdenum remain bound to molybdenum and those bound to tungsten remain bound to tungsten. The NMR evidence mitigates against the "merry-go-round" mechanism and shows that bridge-terminal site exchange cannot be invoked to explain the observed dynamic exchange process. The pseudoro-

(16) For example, see: Cotton, F. A.; Wilkinson, G. In "Advanced Inorganic Chemistry"; 4th ed.; Wiley: New York, 1980; Figure 28.23, p 1228, and accompanying discussion. tation mechanism appears to be the only viable low-energy process. $W_4(CSiMe_3)_2(OEt)_{14}$. The ¹H NMR spectrum recorded at -70

°C, 360 MHz in toluene- d_8 , shows one Si Me_3 signal and seven partially overlapping triplets of equal intensity assignable to the OCH₂CH₃ protons. The methylene protons appear in the region 4-5 ppm as seven partially resolved multiplets, each being part of an anticipated ABX₃ spectrum. The low-temperature spectrum is therefore consistent with the centrosymmetric structure found in the solid state. However, the spectrum could also be reconciled with the centrosymmetric structures shown in 1 and 11 below.¹⁷



Upon raising the temperature the methylene signals collapse to a quartet and methyl signals to a triplet at +45 °C. Evidently bridge-terminal OEt exchange becomes rapid and an intramolecular mechanism of the type previously proposed¹⁵ for the fluxional and structurally related $W_4(\mu-H)_2(O-i-Pr)_{14}$ molecule could be operative.

Concluding Remarks

The ability of alkylidyne ligands to act as bridging groups between two and three metal atoms supported by alkoxide ligands and metal-metal bonds leads us to speculate that similar local environments might exist in reactive metal oxide surfaces. Evidence for the formation of μ_3 -alkylidyne ligands in the reactions between alkynes and metal surfaces has been presented.^{18,19} Similar reactions can be envisaged for metal oxide surfaces.

Further studies aimed at extending the range of these trinuclear and tetranuclear alkylidyne/alkoxide clusters and elucidating the reactivity of the M-C bonds are underway.

Experimental Section

The preparations of $Mo_2(O-i-Pr)_6$, $W_2(O-i-Pr)_6(py)_2$, and $[(i-BuO)_3W \equiv CMe]_2$ have been described.^{4,20,21} All manipulations were carried out under vacuum or inert atmospheres. Dry and oxygen-free solvents were used in all preparations.

¹H NMR spectra were recorded on a Nicolet NT-360 360-MHz spectrometer in dry and oxygen-free benzene- d_6 or toluene- d_8 . Chemical shifts are reported relative to the residual protons of C_6D_6 set at δ 7.15 or the CHD₂ quintet of C_7D_8 set at δ 2.09. IR spectra were recorded on a Perkin-Elmer 283 spectrophotometer as Nujol mulls between CsI plates. Elemental analyses were performed by the Bernhardt Analytical Laboratory of Germany. Electronic absorption spectra were obtained with an Hitachi 330 recording spectrophotometer. Samples were run vs. a solvent blank with 1-cm or 1-mm quartz cells.

 $W_3(0\text{-}i\text{-}Pr)_9(CMe)$. Two procedures allowed isolation of pure crystalline product. Method B is the better method.

Method A: To $W_2(O-i-Pr)_6(py)_2$ (0.500 g, 0.568 mmol) dissolved in hexanes (10 mL) was added C_2Me_2 (0.57 mmol) in hexanes (12 mL) dropwise with stirring. The flask and addition funnel were closed off to N_2 flow during the addition. The addition was complete in 3 h, and the reaction was further stirred for 1 h. The volume of the solution was then reduced to 2-3 mL and cooled at -20 °C for 24 h. This produced dark green crystals which were isolated by filtration and dried in vacuo (yield 0.160 g, 38% based on W).

Method B: In a N₂-filled glovebox $W_2(\text{O-}i\text{-}Pr)_6(py)_2$ (0.400 g, 0.454 mmol) was dissolved in hexanes/*i*-PrOH (10 mL/2 mL). To the solution was added [(*i*-BuO)₃W=CMe]₂ (0.195 g, 0.227 mmol) in hexanes (2-3

⁽¹⁷⁾ A wide variety of compounds have this type of M_4X_{16} unit: Chisholm, M. H.; Huffman, J. C.; Kirkpatrick, C. C.; Leonelli, J.; Folting, K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1981**, 103, 6093.

⁽¹⁸⁾ Kesmodel, L. L.; Dubois, L. H.; Somorjai, G. A. J. Chem. Phys. 1979, 70, 2180.

⁽¹⁹⁾ Koestner, R. J.; Frost, J. C.; Stair, P. C.; van Hove, M. A.; Somorjai, G. A. Surf. Sci. 1982, 115, 441.

Table VII.	Summary of	f Crystal Data
------------	------------	----------------

	$W_3(CMe)(O-i-Pr)_9$	$W_4(CSiMe_3)_2(OEt)_{14}$	
empirical formula	W ₃ C ₂₉ H ₆₆ O ₉	C ₃₅ H ₈₈ O ₁₄ Si ₂ W ₄	
color of crystal	black/brown	red	
crystal dimensions (mm)	$0.16 \times 0.16 \times 0.16$	$0.24 \times 0.20 \times 0.20$	
space group	ΡĪ	$P2_1/n$	
cell dimensions			
temp, °C	-159	-155	
<i>a</i> , Å	18.255 (6)	10.812 (3)	
b, Å	11.396 (3)	17.576 (6)	
<i>c</i> , Å	11.500 (3)	14.225 (4)	
α , deg	110.55 (2)	106.42 (2)	
β , deg	72.56 (2)		
γ , deg	75.16 (2)		
Z, molecules/cell	2	2	
volume, Å ³	1954.46	2592.83	
calcd density, g/cm ³	1.887	1.968	
wavelength, Å	0.71069	0.71069	
molecular weight	1110.39	1536.65	
linear absorption coeff, cm ⁻¹	90.388	91.341	
max. absorption	0.0890		
min. absorption	0.2910		
detector to sample distance, cm	22.5	22.5	
sample to source distance, cm	23.5	23.5	
av ω scan width at half height	0.25	0.25	
scan speed, deg/min	4.0	6.0	
scan width, deg + dispersion	2.0	1.8	
individual background, s	8	8	
aperture size, mm	3.0×4.0	3.0×4.0	
2θ range, deg	6-45	6-50	
total no. of reflections collected	5243	8244	
no. of unique intensities	5147	4573	
no. with $F > 0.0$		4350	
no. of $F > 3\sigma(F)$	4755	3854	
R(F)	0.0449	0.0289	
Rw(F)	0.0474	0.0312	
goodness of fit for the last cycle	1.334	0.669	
max. δ/σ for last cycle.	0.05	0.05	

mL) with a disposable pipet. The color of the solution changed from dark red to dark green immediately. The reaction mixture was stirred for 2 h, the volatiles were removed, and the solid residue was redissolved in hexanes (2-3 mL). It was necessary to warm the hexanes slightly (30-40 °C) to dissolve all of the solid. Cooling at -20 °C for 3 days produced dark green crystals which were isolated by filtration and dried in vacuo (yield 0.270 g, 54%). ¹H NMR spectra of a stripped reaction mixture showed the reaction is >90% for formation of the $W_3(CMe)$ species with Method B. Anal. Calcd for W₃O₉C₂₉H₆₆: C, 31.37; H, 5.99; N, 0.00. Found: C, 31.15; H, 5.80; N, <0.05.

¹H NMR (-10 °C, toluene-d₈): δ (OCHMe₂) 1.27 (18 H), 1.41 (18 H), and 1.49 (18 H) (d, $J_{HH} = 6$ Hz); δ (OCHMe₂) 3.63 (3 H) and 5.00 (6 H) (sept, $J_{HH} = 6$ Hz); δ (CMe) 4.14 (3 H, s, ${}^{3}J_{WH} = 7.12$ Hz).

IR (cm⁻¹): 1334 (m), 1322 (m), 1262 (w), 1161 (s), 1121 (s), 1010 (s), 991 (s), 979 (s), 954 (s), 848 (s), 612 (m), 596 (s), 581 (m), 550 (m), 481 (w), 455 (w), 428 (w), 305 (w).

UV-vis (hexanes): $(\lambda_{max}, nm (\epsilon, L M^{-1} cm^{-1}))$ 743 (450), 486 (1200). 390 (3700), 295 (11 000), 232 (50 000), 228 (54 000) and 226 (50 000).

Mo₂W(O-i-Pr)₉(CMe). This compound was prepared by a procedure analogous to the Method B preparation of $W_3(O-i-Pr)_9(CMe)$. Dark brown crystals were collected in 62% yield. Anal. Calcd for Mo₂WO₉C₂₉H₆₆: C, 37.27; H, 7.12; N, 0.00. Found: C, 36.99; H, 6.88; N, <0.03.

¹H NMR (-45 °C, toluene- d_8): δ (OCH Me_2), 1.24 (6 H), 1.31 (6 H), 1.33 (6 H), 1.39 (6 H), 1.42 (6 H), 1.44 (6 H), 1.45 (6 H), 1.54 (6 H), and 1.58 (6 H), (d, $J_{HH} = 6$ Hz); δ (OCHMe₂) 3.55 (2 H), 3.69 (1 H), 4.88 (2 H), 5.05 (2 H), and 5.16 (2 H) (sept, $J_{HH} = 6$ Hz); δ (CMe) 4.04 (3 H, s). At 21 °C coupling to ¹⁸³W is observed for the ethylidyne protons: $J_{WH} = 5.7$ Hz.

IR (cm⁻¹): 1317 (m), 1160 (m), 1118 (s), 1001 (m), 980 (s), 958 (s), 846 (m), 609 (m), 595 (m), 580 (m), 554 (w), 456 (w), 430 (w), 215 (w).

 $W_4(CSiMe_3)_2(OEt)_{14}$, $W_2(\mu$ -CSiMe_3)_2(CH_2SiMe_3)_4^{22} (0.50 mmol) was dissolved in hexanes (10 mL) and ethanol (5.0 mmol) was added to

the solution via syringe. After allowing the mixture to stand at ambient temperature for 12 h, the solvent was removed in vacuo, and the resulting solid residues were dissolved in hexanes (10 mL). Filtration yielded a red-brown supernatant liquid and no perceptible solid residue. Drastic reduction of the solvent volume followed by refrigeration at -20 °C produced large dark-red crystals identified as $W_4(\mu-CSiMe_3)_2(OEt)_{14}$ by ¹H NMR and ¹³C NMR spectroscopy, X-ray crystallography, and elemental analysis. Yield 0.26 g, 68%. Anal. Calcd for $W_4O_{14}Si_2C_{36}H_{88}$: C, 28.06; H, 5.76. Found: C, 28.01; H, 5.65.

¹H NMR spectrum (-70 °C, toluene- d_8): δ 4.92, 4.86, 4.70, 4.65, 4.50, 4.38, and 4.01 (2 H, m, OCH₂CH₃); 1.72, 1.56, 1.44, 1.39, 1.38, 1.29, and 1.24 (3 H, t, OCH₂CH₃, ${}^{3}J_{H-H} = 6.9$ Hz), 0.61 (9 H, s, μ CSiMe₃). ¹³C{¹H} NMR spectrum (21 °C, CD₂Cl₂): δ 71.3 (OCH₂C-H₃), 18.8 (OCH₂CH₃), 3.58 (µ-CSiMe₃).

IR spectrum (Nujol) (cm⁻¹): 1375 (s), 1240 (m), 1149 (m), 1110 (s), 1094 (s), 1050 (vs, b), 1032 (vs, b), 914 (s), 904 (s), 877 (s), 836 (s), 751 (m), 682 (w), 624 (m), 608 (w), 573 (m), 546 (m), 513 (s), 472 (m), 411 (w), 368 (w), 329 (w), 321 (w).

A solution molecular weight range of 1500-1700 g/m was determined for $W_4(\mu$ -CSiMe₃)₂(OEt)₁₄ via freezing point depression in benzene.

Crystallographic Studies. General operating procedures and listings of programs have been reported.^{6a} Crystal data for the two compounds are summarized in Table VII. Preliminary examination of the mixedmetal compound Mo₂W(CMe)(O-i-Pr)₉ indicated it was isomorphous with $W_3(CMe)(O-i-Pr)_9$. The mixed-metal compound is undoubtedly disordered with respect to the location of the metal atoms so no data were taken other than the cell parameters. For $Mo_2W(CMe)(O-i-Pr)_9 a =$ 18.191 (8) Å, b = 11.391 (5) Å, c = 11.501 (5) Å, $\alpha = 110.79$ (2)°, β $= 72.65 (2)^{\circ}, \gamma = 75.31 (2)^{\circ}$

W₃(CMe)(O-i-Pr)₉. A suitable crystal was cleaved from a large "clump" of crystals and was transferred to the goniostat under a N_2 atmosphere.

Data were located in the usual manner and the three metal atoms located in a map phased by direct methods. All remaining non-hydrogen atoms were located in a subsequent difference Fourier. Nearly all hydrogen atoms were visible in a difference map phased on the non-hydrogen coordinates, but attempts to refine them isotropically failed, with several failing to converge. For this reason all hydrogens were placed in fixed idealized positions [d(C-H) = 0.95 Å] for the final cycles of refinement.

⁽²⁰⁾ Akiyama, M.; Chisholm, M. H.; Cotton, F. A.; Extine, M. W.; Haitko, D. A.; Little, D.; Fanwick, P. E. *Inorg. Chem.* 1979, *18*, 2266.
(21) Chisholm, M. H.; Cotton, F. A.; Murillo, C. A.; Reichert, W. W. *Inorg. Chem.* 1977, *16*, 1801.

⁽²²⁾ Chisholm, M. H.; Cotton, F. A.; Extine, M. W.; Murillo, C. A. Inorg. Chem. 1978, 17, 696.

 $W_4(CSiMe_3)_2(OEt)_{14}$. A suitable small crystal was selected and transferred to the goniostat, where it was cooled to -155 °C. The crystal was characterized in the usual manner. A systematic search of a limited hemisphere of reciprocal space yielded a set of diffraction maxima which exhibited monoclinic symmetry and had systematic extinctions corresponding to the unique space group $P2_1/n$.

The structure was solved by locating the two W atoms with direct methods, and the remainder of the non-hydrogen atoms were located in two successive difference Fouriers phased with the W atoms. The structure was refined by full-matrix least-squares with use of anisotropic thermal parameters on all atoms, and the hydrogen atoms were then located in a difference Fourier. Final refinements were completed with use of isotropic thermal parameters on the hydrogen atoms.

A final difference Fourier was essentially featureless, and a few peaks of ca. $0.8-1.0 \text{ e}/\text{Å}^3$ were located in proximity to the W atoms.

The molecule contains a crystallographic center of inversion.

Acknowledgments. We thank the Department of Energy, Office of Basic Research, Chemical Sciences Division and the Wrubel Computing Center for support. Note Added in Proof. We have recently found that $W_4(\mu-CSiMe_3)_2(OEt)_{14}$ crystallizes from hexane in a second monoclinic form. Crystal data at -160 °C are as follows: a = 14.207 (8) Å, b = 10.821 (5) Å, c = 17.391 (11) Å, $\beta = 97.69$ (3)°, Z = 2, $d_{calcd} = 1.926$ g cm⁻³ in the space group $P2_1/c$. All molecular dimensions are within 3σ . The two molecular structures are essentially superimposable.

Registry No. $W_3(O-i-Pr)_9(CMe)$, 94499-61-3; $W_4(\mu-CSiMe_3)_2-(OEt)_{14}$, 94517-67-6; $Mo_2W(CMe)(O-i-Pr)_9$, 94499-62-4; $W_2(O-i-Pr)_6-(\mu-C_4Me_4)(C_2Me_2)$, 87654-13-5; $W_2(O-i-Pr)_6(Py)_2$, 70178-75-5; (*i*-BuO)_3W=CMe, 82209-23-2; $Mo_2(O-i-Pr)_6$, 62521-20-4; $W_2(\mu-CSiMe_3)_2(CH_2SiMe_3)_4$, 59654-41-0; C_2Me_2 , 503-17-3.

Supplementary Material Available: Complete listings of bond lengths and bond angles, anisotropic thermal parameters, and structure factor amplitudes (81 pages). Ordering information is given on any masthead page. The complete structural reports are available from the Indiana University Chemistry Library in microfiche form only at \$2.50 per report. Request MSC Report No. 84041 and 84047 for $W_3(CMe)(O-i-Pr)_9$ and W_4 -(CSiMe₃)₂(OEt)₁₄, respectively.

Interconversion of η^5 -C₅H₅, η^1 -C₅H₅, and Ionic " η^0 "-C₅H₅ Rhenium Compounds—X-ray Crystal Structure of [Re(NO)(CH₃)(PMe₃)₄]⁺[C₅H₅]⁻

Charles P. Casey,* Joseph M. O'Connor, and Kenneth J. Haller

Contribution from the Department of Chemistry, University of Wisconsin, Madison, Wisconsin 53706. Received July 30, 1984

Abstract: The reaction of $(\eta^5-C_5H_5)Re(NO)(CH_3)(PMe_3)$ (6) and PMe₃ produced $(\eta^1-C_5H_5)Re(NO)(CH_3)(PMe_3)_3$ (10). The reaction is reversible with $K_{eq} = 0.4 M^{-2}$ at 4 °C in THF- d_8 . Upon heating at 48 °C in THF in the presence of high concentrations of PMe₃, the equilibrium mixture of 6 and 10 was converted to $[Re(NO)(CH_3)(PMe_3)_4]^+[C_5H_5]^-$ (9), which precipitates from solution. When 9 was heated in THF at 81 °C in the absence of added PMe₃, it was reconverted to 6 and free PMe₃. The structure of 9 was determined by X-ray crystallography: monoclinic space group $P2_1/c$, with unit cell constants a = 12.893 (2) Å, b = 13.622 (2) Å, c = 15.068 (2) Å, $\beta = 97.47$ (2)°, and Z = 4.

The reactions of trialkylphosphines with η^5 -cyclopentadienyl transition-metal complexes result in a variety of interesting cyclopentadienyl ligand transformations. Werner reported that the reaction of $(\eta^5 \cdot C_5H_5)Pd(2\cdot RC_3H_4)$ with $P(i-Pr)_3$ produced an $\eta^1 \cdot C_5H_5$ mono(phosphine) adduct 1 which reacted further to give the novel binuclear complex 2 in which the C_5H_5 ring spans two metals.¹



We have previously reported evidence for cyclopentadiene ring slippage $(\eta^5 \cdot C_5H_5 \rightleftharpoons \eta^3 \cdot C_5H_5 \rightleftharpoons \eta^1 \cdot C_5H_5)$ during phosphine substitution reactions at coordinatively saturated metal centers.² Scheme I



Thus, $(\eta^5-C_5H_5)Re(NO)(CH_3)(CO)$ (3) reacted rapidly and reversibly with 2 equiv of PMe₃ at 25 °C to give the $\eta^1-C_5H_5$ bis(phosphine) adduct 4 via a proposed $\eta^3-C_5H_5$ mono(phosphine) intermediate 5. When benzene- d_6 solutions of 4 were heated to 90 °C an equilibrium mixture of 3 and 4 was slowly converted to a 4:1 (50%) mixture of phosphine-substituted methyl and acetyl complexes, 6 and 7, probably via the same $\eta^3-C_5H_5$ intermediate

⁽¹⁾ Werner, H.; Tune, D.; Parker, G.; Krüger, C.; Brauer, D. J. Angew. Chem. 1975, 87, 205-206. Werner, H.; Kuhn, A. Angew. Chem. 1979, 91, 447-448.

^{(2) (}a) Casey, C. P.; Jones, W. D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1980, 102, 6154-6156. (b) Casey, C. P.; Jones, W. D.; Harsy, S. G. J. Organomet. Chem. 1981, 206, C38-C42. (c) Casey, C. P.; Andrews, M. A.; McAlister, D. R.; Jones, W. D.; Harsy, S. G. J. Mol. Catal. 1981, 13, 43-59. (d) Casey, C. P.; O'Connor, J. M.; Jones, W. D.; Haller, K. J. Organometallics 1983, 2, 535-538. (e) Casey, C. P.; O'Connor, J. M. Organometallics, submitted.